

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

purpose of initiating the civil d I. (a) PLAINTIFFS	ocket sheet. (SEE INSTRUC	CTIONS ON NEXT PAGE C	OF THIS FO	DEFENDAN	TS					
Jessica Gilbert				Cordicate IT, LLC Sean Baird						
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff Hillsborough Cour (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)			County of Residence of First Listed Defendant (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY) NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.							
	Address, and Telephone Numbersq., Console Mattiacci			Attorneys (If Know	wn)					
1525 Locust St., 91	th Fl., Philadelphia, PA	A 19102 (215) 545-	7676							
II. BASIS OF JURISD	ICTION (Place an "X" in	One Box Only)		FIZENSHIP OF		NCIPA		Place an "X" in and One Box for		
1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	× 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government)	Not a Party)		(For Diversity Cases On en of This State	PTF 1	DEF	Incorporated <i>or</i> Pri of Business In T	incipal Place	PTF 4	DEF 4
2 U.S. Government Defendant	4 Diversity (Indicate Citizensh.)	ip of Parties in Item III)		en of Another State	2	_ 2	Incorporated and P of Business In A		5	<u></u>
				en or Subject of a reign Country	3	3	Foreign Nation		6	<u></u> 6
IV. NATURE OF SUIT							for: Nature of S			
110 Insurance 120 Marine 130 Miller Act 140 Negotiable Instrument 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgmen 151 Medicare Act 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excludes Veterans) 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits 160 Stockholders' Suits 190 Other Contract 195 Contract Product Liability 196 Franchise	PERSONAL INJURY 310 Airplane 315 Airplane Product Liability 320 Assault, Libel &	PERSONAL INJUR 365 Personal Injury - Product Liability 367 Health Care/ Pharmaceutical Personal Injury Product Liability 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability PERSONAL PROPER 370 Other Fraud 371 Truth in Lending 380 Other Personal Property Damage Product Liability PRISONER PETITION Habeas Corpus: 463 Alien Detainee 510 Motions to Vacate Sentence 530 General 535 Death Penalty Other: 540 Mandamus & Oth 550 Civil Rights 555 Prison Condition 560 Civil Detainee -	Y	DRFEITURE/PENALT 5 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 8: 0 Other LABOR 0 Fair Labor Standards Act 0 Labor/Management Relations 0 Railway Labor Act 1 Family and Medical Leave Act 0 Other Labor Litigation 1 Employee Retirement Income Security Act IMMIGRATION 2 Naturalization Applica 5 Other Immigration Actions	81	422 Apr 423 Wit 28 ' PROPEI 820 Cop 830 Pate 835 Pate 840 Trac 880 Def Act SOCIA 861 HIA 862 Blac 863 DIV 864 SSI 865 RSI FEDER 871 IRS	OSC 157 RTY RIGHTS Dyrights ent - Abbreviated v Drug Application	375 False 376 Qui Ti 3729(400 State 410 Antitr 430 Banks 450 Comm 460 Depor 470 Racke Corrul 480 Consu (15 U 485 Telepl Protec 490 Cable 850 Securi Excha 890 Other 891 Agrici 893 Envire 895 Freedo Act 896 Arbitr 899 Admin Act/Racke Agenc 950 Consti	Reapportion ust and Bankin nerce tatation teer Influer of Organiza mer Credit SC 1681 or none Consu ction Act ('Sat TV ties/Comm unge Statutory A ultural Acts onmental M om of Infor ation nistrative Pr eview or A y Decision	nnment nng nneed and attions t r 1692) nmer nodities/ Actions s fatters mation rocedure
1	moved from 3	Conditions of Confinement Remanded from Appellate Court	4 Reins Reop	ened And	nsferred other Di		6 Multidistri Litigation Transfer		Multidis Litigatio	on -
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO	ON 42 U.S.C. §2000e, et s Brief description of ca			Oo not cite jurisdictional		unless di	iversity):			
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	CHECK IF THIS UNDER RULE 2	IS A CLASS ACTION 3, F.R.Cv.P.		EMAND \$ excess of \$75,000			HECK YES only: URY DEMAND:		n complai	
VIII. RELATED CASI	E(S) (See instructions):	JUDGE				DOCK	ET NUMBER			
DATE 03/02/2021		SIGNATURE OF ACT	TORNEY O	OF RECORD						
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY	MOLINIT	A DDI VINIC IED		H-POV	г.		MAG WW	DOF.		

Case 2:21-cv-00993#NPTEDSICATESPORTE FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

DESIGNATION FORM

(to be used by counsel or pro se plaintiff to indicate the category of the case for the purpose of assignment to the appropriate calendar)

Address of Plaintiff: Apollo	Beach, Florida					
	ike, Suite 200, Blue Bell, PA 19422					
Place of Accident, Incident or Transaction: 794 Penllyn Blue Bell Pike, Suite 200, Blue Bell, PA 19422						
RELATED CASE, IF ANY:						
Case Number: Judge:	Date Terminated:					
Civil cases are deemed related when Yes is answered to any of the following que	stions:					
1. Is this case related to property included in an earlier numbered suit pending previously terminated action in this court?	or within one year Yes No					
2. Does this case involve the same issue of fact or grow out of the same transaction as a prior suit Yes No Verification on year previously terminated action in this court?						
3. Does this case involve the validity or infringement of a patent already in sui numbered case pending or within one year previously terminated action of the second seco						
4. Is this case a second or successive habeas corpus, social security appeal, or page 15 case filed by the same individual?	pro se civil rights Yes No					
I certify that, to my knowledge, the within case this court except as noted above. DATE: 03/02/2021 Is / Is not related to any case new pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court except as noted above. Attorney-at-Law/Pro Se Plaintiff Attorney I.D. # (if applicable)						
CIVIL: (Place a √ in one category only)						
CIVIL: (Place a √ in one category only) A. Federal Question Cases: B.	Diversity Jurisdiction Cases:					
	Insurance Contract and Other Contracts Airplane Personal Injury					
A. Federal Question Cases: 1. Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contracts 2. FELA 3. Jones Act-Personal Injury 4. Antitrust 5. Patent 6. Labor-Management Relations 7. Civil Rights 8. Habeas Corpus 9. Securities Act(s) Cases 10. Social Security Review Cases 11. All other Federal Question Cases (Please specify): ARBITRATION	1. Insurance Contract and Other Contracts 2. Airplane Personal Injury 3. Assault, Defamation 4. Marine Personal Injury 5. Motor Vehicle Personal Injury 6. Other Personal Injury (Please specify): 7. Products Liability 8. Products Liability – Asbestos 9. All other Diversity Cases (Please specify): (Please specify):					
A. Federal Question Cases: 1. Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contracts 2. FELA 3. Jones Act-Personal Injury 4. Antitrust 5. Patent 6. Labor-Management Relations 7. Civil Rights 8. Habeas Corpus 9. Securities Act(s) Cases 10. Social Security Review Cases 11. All other Federal Question Cases (Please specify): ARBITRATION	1. Insurance Contract and Other Contracts 2. Airplane Personal Injury 3. Assault, Defamation 4. Marine Personal Injury 5. Motor Vehicle Personal Injury 6. Other Personal Injury (Please specify): 7. Products Liability 8. Products Liability – Asbestos 9. All other Diversity Cases (Please specify): CERTIFICATION we the case from eligibility for arbitration.)					
A. Federal Question Cases: 1. Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contracts 2. FELA 3. Jones Act-Personal Injury 4. Antitrust 5. Patent 6. Labor-Management Relations 7. Civil Rights 8. Habeas Corpus 9. Securities Act(s) Cases 10. Social Security Review Cases 11. All other Federal Question Cases (Please specify): ARBITRATION (The effect of this certification is to remove the property of the contracts ARBITRATION (The effect of this certification is to remove the property of the certification is to remove the certif	1. Insurance Contract and Other Contracts 2. Airplane Personal Injury 3. Assault, Defamation 4. Marine Personal Injury 5. Motor Vehicle Personal Injury 6. Other Personal Injury (Please specify): 7. Products Liability 8. Products Liability – Asbestos 9. All other Diversity Cases (Please specify): CERTIFICATION we the case from eligibility for arbitration.)					
A. Federal Question Cases: 1. Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contracts 2. FELA 3. Jones Act-Personal Injury 4. Antitrust 5. Patent 6. Labor-Management Relations 7. Civil Rights 8. Habeas Corpus 9. Securities Act(s) Cases 10. Social Security Review Cases 11. All other Federal Question Cases (Please specify): ARBITRATION of The effect of this certification is to remove the specific of the security of the security Review Cases In the effect of this certification is to remove the security Review Cases (Please specify): Pursuant to Local Civil Rule 53.2, § 3(c) (2), that to the best of my known is a security Rule 53.2, § 3(c) (2), that to the best of my known is a security Rule 53.2, § 3(c) (2), that to the best of my known is a security Rule 53.2, § 3(c) (2), that to the best of my known is a security Rule 53.2, § 3(c) (2), that to the best of my known is a security Rule 53.2, § 3(c) (2), that to the best of my known is a security Rule 53.2, § 3(c) (2), that to the best of my known is a security Rule 53.2, § 3(c) (2), that to the best of my known is a security Rule 53.2, § 3(c) (2), that to the best of my known is a security Rule 53.2, § 3(c) (2), that to the best of my known is a security Rule 53.2, § 3(c) (2), that to the best of my known is a security Rule 53.2, § 3(c) (2), that to the best of my known is a security Rule 53.2, § 3(c) (2), that to the best of my known is a security Rule 53.2, § 3(c) (2), that to the best of my known is a security Rule 53.2, § 3(c) (2), that to the best of my known is a security Rule 53.2, § 3(c) (2), that to the best of my known is a security Rule 53.2, § 3(c) (2), that to the best of my known is a security Rule 53.2, § 3(c) (2), that to the best of my known is a security Rule 53.2, § 3(c) (2), that to the best of my known is a security Rule 53.2, § 3(c) (2), that to the best of my known is a security Rule 53.2, § 3(c) (2), that to the best of my known is a security Rule 53.2, § 3(c) (2), that to the best of my known is a security Rule 53.2, § 3	1. Insurance Contract and Other Contracts 2. Airplane Personal Injury 3. Assault, Defamation 4. Marine Personal Injury 5. Motor Vehicle Personal Injury 6. Other Personal Injury (Please specify): 7. Products Liability 8. Products Liability – Asbestos 9. All other Diversity Cases (Please specify): CERTIFICATION we the case from eligibility for arbitration.)					
A. Federal Question Cases: 1. Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contracts 2. FELA 3. Jones Act-Personal Injury 4. Antitrust 5. Patent 6. Labor-Management Relations 7. Civil Rights 8. Habeas Corpus 9. Securities Act(s) Cases 10. Social Security Review Cases 11. All other Federal Question Cases (Please specify): ARBITRATION (The effect of this certification is to remove the specific and the contract of the proof of	1. Insurance Contract and Other Contracts 2. Airplane Personal Injury 3. Assault, Defamation 4. Marine Personal Injury 5. Motor Vehicle Personal Injury 6. Other Personal Injury (Please specify): 7. Products Liability 8. Products Liability – Asbestos 9. All other Diversity Cases (Please specify): CERTIFICATION we the case from eligibility for arbitration.) tiff, do hereby certify: weldge and belief, the damages recoverable in this civil action case					

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

CASE MANAGEMENT TRACK DESIGNATION FORM

CHOL III	THUIGHT THEICH D	ESIGNITION TORM	
	:	CIVIL ACTION	
a Gilbert	:		
V.	:		
cate IT, LLC	·	NO.	
Baird	·	110.	
plaintiff shall complete a Cas filing the complaint and serve side of this form.) In the e designation, that defendant s the plaintiff and all other par to which that defendant belief	se Management Track Design a copy on all defendants. (Sevent that a defendant does shall, with its first appearance ties, a Case Management Trackets the case should be assigned.		me of everse g said ve on
SELECT ONE OF THE FO	OLLOWING CASE MANA	AGEMENT TRACKS:	
(a) Habeas Corpus – Cases b	orought under 28 U.S.C. § 2	241 through § 2255.	()
(b) Social Security – Cases r and Human Services den	requesting review of a decision ying plaintiff Social Securit	ion of the Secretary of Health y Benefits.	()
(c) Arbitration – Cases requi	ired to be designated for arb	itration under Local Civil Rule 53.2.	()
(d) Asbestos – Cases involvi exposure to asbestos.	ing claims for personal injur	ry or property damage from	()
		ial or intense management by	()
Ź	Consenting the most full into a		
(f) Standard Management –	Cases that do not fall into a	ny one of the other tracks.	(X)
03/02/2021		Plaintiff, Jessica Gilbert	
Date	Attorney-at-law	Attorney for	
215-545-7676	215-405-2900	farrell@consolelaw.com	
Telephone	FAX Number	E-Mail Address	

(Civ. 660) 10/02

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

			:
TOOTO	~~~		

JESSICA GILBERT : CIV. A. NO. _____

Plaintiff, :

:

V. .

CORDICATE IT, LLC :

and :

:

SEAN BAIRD : JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

Defendants.

CIVIL ACTION COMPLAINT

I. <u>INTRODUCTION</u>

Plaintiff, Jessica Gilbert ("Plaintiff"), brings this action against her former employer, Cordicate IT, LLC ("Defendant Cordicate"), for unlawful sex/ pregnancy discrimination, in violation of Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, as amended, 42 U.S.C. §2000e, *et seq.* ("Title VII"), and the Pennsylvania Human Relations Act, as amended, 43 P.S. §951, *et seq.* ("PHRA"). Plaintiff also brings this action against Sean Baird ("Defendant Baird"), Managing Director and Founder of Defendant Cordicate, for aiding, abetting, inciting, compelling and/or coercing Defendant Cordicate's discriminatory conduct, in violation of the PHRA.

Plaintiff seeks damages, including economic loss, compensatory, punitive, attorneys' fees, and all other relief that this Court deems appropriate.

II. PARTIES

1. Plaintiff, Jessica Gilbert, is an individual and a citizen of the state of Florida.

Plaintiff currently resides in Apollo Beach, Florida.

- 2. Plaintiff is female.
- 3. Defendant, Cordicate IT, LLC ("Defendant Cordicate"), is a Pennsylvania corporation with a principal place of business located at 794 Penllyn Blue Bell Pike, Suite 200, Blue Bell, PA 19422.
- 4. Defendant, Sean Baird ("Defendant Baird"), is an individual and the Managing Director and Founder of Defendant Cordicate. Defendant Baird maintains a principal place of business at 794 Penllyn Blue Bell Pike, Suite 200, Blue Bell, PA 19422.
- 5. Defendant Cordicate is engaged in an industry affecting interstate commerce and regularly does business in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania.
- 6. At all times material hereto, Defendant Cordicate employed more than fifteen (15) employees.
- 7. At all times material hereto, Defendant Cordicate acted by and through its authorized agents, workmen, and/or employees acting within the course and scope of their employment with Defendant Cordicate and in furtherance of Defendant Cordicate's business.
- 8. At all times material hereto, Defendant Cordicate acted as an employer within the meaning of the statutes which form the basis of this matter.
- 9. At all times material hereto, Plaintiff was an employee of Defendant Cordicate within the meaning of the statutes which form the basis of this matter.

III. JURISDICTION AND VENUE

10. The causes of action which form the basis of this matter arise under Title VII (Count I) and the PHRA (Counts II-III).

- 11. The District Court has jurisdiction over Count I (Title VII) pursuant to 42 U.S.C. §2000e-5 and 28 U.S.C. §1331.
- 12. The District Court has supplemental jurisdiction over Counts II-III (PHRA) pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1367.
- 13. Venue is proper in the District Court under 28 U.S.C. §1391(b) and 42 U.S.C. § 2000e-5(f)(3).
- 14. On or about April 16, 2019, Plaintiff filed a Charge of Discrimination with the Pennsylvania Human Relations Commission ("PHRC"), complaining of acts of discrimination alleged herein. This Charge was cross-filed with the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission ("EEOC"). Attached hereto, incorporated herein and marked as Exhibit "1" is a true and correct copy of Plaintiff's PHRC Charge of Discrimination (with personal identifying information redacted).
- 15. On or about December 3, 2020, the EEOC issued to Plaintiff a Notice of Right to Sue for her Charge of Discrimination. Attached hereto, incorporated herein and marked as Exhibit "2" is a true and correct copy of the Notice (with personal identifying information redacted).
- 16. Plaintiff has fully complied with all administrative prerequisites for the commencement of this action.

IV. FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

- 17. Plaintiff was employed by Defendant Cordicate from on or about December 12, 2011 until on or about March 20, 2019, the date of her unlawful, discriminatory termination.
 - 18. Defendant Cordicate is a managed-service technology company that

provides consulting and information technology services to companies in a wide variety of industries.

- At all times material hereto, Plaintiff held the position of Senior Technical
 Advisor for Defendant Cordicate and reported directly to Defendant Baird.
- 20. As a Senior Technical Advisor, Plaintiff was responsible for, among other things, marketing Defendant Cordicate's information technology services and obtaining new business.
- 21. At all times throughout her employment with Defendant Cordicate, Plaintiff was an excellent employee who performed her job duties in a highly competent manner.
- 22. In or about May 2017, Plaintiff moved from Pennsylvania to Florida, where she continued working for Defendant Cordicate on a remote basis.
- 23. In or about April 2018, Plaintiff informed Defendant Baird that she was pregnant and expected to deliver her child in the October-November 2018 timeframe.
- 24. After Plaintiff disclosed her pregnancy, Defendant Cordicate hired an individual named Jason Douglas ("Douglas") into a marketing position.
- 25. Prior to Douglas' hire, Plaintiff held the primary marketing responsibilities for Defendant Cordicate.
- 26. Following Douglas' hire, Defendant Cordicate stripped Plaintiff of her marketing responsibilities and reassigned them to Douglas, a less-qualified male.
- 27. A few months later, in or about August 2018, Plaintiff discussed the details of her forthcoming maternity leave with Defendant Baird. During that conversation, Defendant Baird informed Plaintiff that she "should only need two weeks of leave." Plaintiff told Defendant Baird that two weeks would not be enough time. Plaintiff and

4

Defendant Baird then agreed on a maternity leave period of approximately one-month following the birth of Plaintiff's child.

- 28. On November 1, 2018, Plaintiff gave birth to her son and commenced maternity leave.
 - 29. Plaintiff's maternity leave concluded on December 6, 2018.
 - 30. Following Plaintiff's return from maternity leave, Defendant Baird:
 - treated Plaintiff in a hostile and dismissive manner;
 - ignored and excluded Plaintiff from meetings and communications
 relevant to her job duties; and
 - failed to timely pay Plaintiff her earned sales commissions.
- 31. Less than four months following Plaintiff's return from maternity leave, on March 20, 2019, Defendant Baird abruptly terminated Plaintiff's employment during a phone call, effectively immediately.
- 32. Defendant Baird's stated reason for the termination decision was that the company had decided to "eliminate" Plaintiff's position and create a "new sales administrative position."
- 33. Defendant Baird also falsely accused Plaintiff of refusing to perform her marketing duties. In fact, Defendant Cordicate had unilaterally stripped Plaintiff of those duties and reassigned them to Douglas following the disclosure of her pregnancy in or about April 2018.
- 34. In response to Defendant Baird, Plaintiff asked to be considered for the new sales administrative position, but Defendant Baird turned down Plaintiff's request. Plaintiff then asked Defendant Baird if there was anything she could do to remain employed by the

company. Defendant Baird answered "no."

- 35. Plaintiff was blindsided by the news of her termination. Prior to her call with Defendant Baird on March 20, 2019, Plaintiff had received no indication that her job was in jeopardy. Defendant Baird had also never mentioned to Plaintiff a desire or need to create a new sales administrative position, or that, when created, Plaintiff would not be considered for the role.
- 36. Defendant Baird failed to provide Plaintiff any explanation for why Defendant Cordicate would not consider her for the new sales administration position.
- 37. Defendant Cordicate's stated reasons for terminating Plaintiff's employment served as a pretext for sex/ pregnancy discrimination.
- 38. Upon information and belief, Defendant Cordicate ultimately hired a less-experienced and less-qualified male into the new sales administrative position.
- 39. Upon information and belief, following the termination of Plaintiff's employment, Defendant Cordicate reassigned Plaintiff's job duties to less-qualified, less-experienced male employees.
- 40. Plaintiff's sex/ pregnancy was a motivating and/or determinative factor in Defendant Cordicate's discriminatory treatment of Plaintiff, including, but not limited to, stripping Plaintiff of her marketing duties, refusing to consider Plaintiff for/ failing to offer Plaintiff the new sales administrative role, and terminating Plaintiff's employment.
- 41. Plaintiff's family caregiving responsibilities were a motivating and/or determinative factor in Defendant Cordicate's discriminatory treatment of Plaintiff, including, but not limited to, stripping Plaintiff of her marketing duties, refusing to consider Plaintiff for/ failing to offer Plaintiff the new sales administrative role, and terminating

Plaintiff's employment.

- 42. As a direct and proximate result of the discriminatory conduct of Defendant Cordicate, Plaintiff has in the past incurred, and may in the future incur, a loss of earnings and/or earning capacity, loss of benefits, pain and suffering, embarrassment, humiliation, loss of self-esteem, mental anguish, and loss of life's pleasures, the full extent of which is not known at this time.
- 43. Defendant Cordicate acted with malice and/or reckless indifference to Plaintiff's protected rights.
- 44. The conduct of Defendant Cordicate, as set forth above, was outrageous under the circumstances and warrants the imposition of punitive damages.
- 45. Defendant Baird aided, abetted, incited, compelled and/or coerced the discrimination to which Plaintiff was subjected during her employment with Defendant Cordicate.

COUNT I - Title VII Plaintiff v. Defendant Cordicate IT, LLC (Disparate Treatment – Sex/ Pregnancy Discrimination)

- 46. Plaintiff incorporates the paragraphs above as if set forth herein in their entirety.
- 47. Plaintiff's sex/ pregnancy was a motivating and/or determinative factor in connection with Defendant Cordicate's treatment of her.
- 48. Plaintiff's family caregiving responsibilities were a motivating and/or determinative factor in connection with Defendant Cordicate's treatment of her.
- 49. By committing the foregoing acts of discrimination against Plaintiff, including stripping Plaintiff of her marketing duties, refusing to consider Plaintiff for/

failing to offer Plaintiff the new sales administrative role, and terminating Plaintiff's employment, Defendant Cordicate has violated Title VII.

- 50. Said violations were intentional and warrant the imposition of punitive damages.
- 51. As a direct and proximate result of Defendant Cordicate's violation of Title VII, Plaintiff has suffered the damages and losses set forth herein and has incurred attorneys' fees and costs.
- 52. Plaintiff is now suffering and will continue to suffer irreparable injury and monetary damages as a result of Defendant Cordicate's discriminatory acts unless and until this Court grants the relief requested herein.

COUNT II – PHRA

Plaintiff v. Defendant Cordicate IT, LLC (Disparate Treatment – Sex/ Pregnancy Discrimination)

- 53. Plaintiff incorporates the paragraphs above as if set forth herein in their entirety.
- 54. Plaintiff's sex/ pregnancy was a motivating and/or determinative factor in connection with Defendant Cordicate's treatment of her.
- 55. Plaintiff's family caregiving responsibilities were a motivating and/or determinative factor in connection with Defendant Cordicate's treatment of her.
- 56. By committing the foregoing acts of discrimination against Plaintiff, including stripping Plaintiff of her marketing duties, refusing to consider Plaintiff for/failing to offer Plaintiff the new sales administrative role, and terminating Plaintiff's employment, Defendant Cordicate has violated the PHRA.
 - 57. As a direct and proximate result of Defendant Cordicate's violation of the

PHRA, Plaintiff has suffered the damages and losses set forth herein and has incurred attorneys' fees and costs.

58. Plaintiff is now suffering and will continue to suffer irreparable injury and monetary damages as a result of Defendant Cordicate's discriminatory acts unless and until this Court grants the relief requested herein.

COUNT III - PHRA Plaintiff v. Defendant Sean Baird (Aiding and Abetting)

- 59. Plaintiff incorporates the foregoing allegations of this Complaint as if set forth herein in their entirety.
- 60. Defendant Baird willfully and knowingly aided, abetted, incited, compelled and/or coerced Defendant Cordicate in the discrimination to which Plaintiff was subjected.
- 61. Defendant Baird knowingly gave substantial assistance and/or encouragement to the unlawful acts of discrimination of Defendant Cordicate towards Plaintiff.
- 62. Defendant Baird, by committing the foregoing acts of discrimination, has violated the PHRA.
 - 63. Said violations were intentional and willful.
- 64. As a direct and proximate result of Defendant Baird's violations of the PHRA, Plaintiff has sustained the injuries, damages and losses set forth herein.
- 65. Plaintiff is now suffering and will continue to suffer irreparable injury and monetary damages as a result of Defendant Baird's discriminatory acts unless and until the Court grants the relief requested herein.

RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff seeks damages and legal and equitable relief in connection with Defendants' unlawful conduct, and specifically prays that this Court grant him the following relief by:

- (a) declaring the acts and practices complained of herein to be in violation of Title VII;
- (b) declaring the acts and practices complained of herein to be in violation of PHRA;
 - (c) enjoining and permanently restraining the violations alleged herein;
- (d) entering judgment against Defendants and in favor of Plaintiff in an amount to be determined;
- (e) awarding compensatory damages to make Plaintiff whole for all lost earnings, earning capacity and benefits, past and future, which Plaintiff has suffered or may suffer as a result of Defendants' unlawful conduct;
- (f) awarding compensatory damages to Plaintiff for past and future pain and suffering, emotional upset, mental anguish, humiliation, and loss of life's pleasures, which Plaintiff has suffered or may suffer as a result of Defendants' unlawful conduct;
 - (g) awarding punitive damages to Plaintiff;
- (h) awarding Plaintiff such other damages as are appropriate under Title VII and the PHRA;
- (i) awarding Plaintiff the costs of suit, expert fees and other disbursements, and reasonable attorneys' fees; and
 - (j) granting such other and further relief as this Court may deem just, proper,

or equitable including other equitable and injunctive relief providing restitution for past violations and preventing future violations.

JURY TRIAL DEMAND

Plaintiff demands a trial by jury.

CONSOLE MATTIACCI LAW LLC

/s/ Brian Farrell
Brian Farrell, Esquire
Console Mattiacci Law LLC
1525 Locust Street, Ninth Floor
Philadelphia, PA 19102
(215) 545-7676
(215) 565-2851 (fax)
farrell@consolelaw.com
Attorney for Plaintiff, Jessica Gilbert

Date: March 2, 2021

Exhibit "1"

COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA

GOVERNOR'S OFFICE

PENNSYLVANIA HUMAN RELATIONS COMMISSION

Jessica Gilbert,

Complainant

v. : PHRC Case No. 201901569

Cordicate IT, LLC, : EEOC No. 17F202060808

Respondent

COMPLAINT

JURISDICTION

1. Jurisdiction is pursuant to the Pennsylvania Human Relations Act 43 P.S. §§ 951-963.

PARTIES

2. The Complainant herein is:

Jessica Gilbert

Apollo Beach, FL 33572

3. The Respondent herein is:

Cordicate IT, LLC 794 Penllyn Pike Suite 200 Blue Bell, PA 19422

COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA GOVERNOR'S OFFICE PENNSYLVANIA HUMAN RELATIONS COMMISSION

Received

APR 1 6 2019

PA Human Relations Commission Philadelphia Regional Office

01569

COMPLAINT

COMPLAINANT:	:		
JESSICA GILBERT	:	Docket No.	2019
y.	: :		
RESPONDENTS:	: :		
CORDICATE IT, LLC	; ;		
and	; ;		
SEAN BAIRD, aider and abettor	:		
	;		

1. The Complainant herein is:

Name:

Jessica Gilbert

Address:

Apollo Beach, FL 19422

2. The Respondents herein are:

Names:

Cordicate IT, LLC ("Respondent Company"); Sean Baird, aider and

abettor ("Respondent Baird")

Address:

794 Penllyn Pike Suite 200

Blue Bell, PA 19422

3. I, <u>Jessica Gilbert</u>, the Complainant herein, allege that I was subjected to unlawful

discrimination because of my sex (female) and pregnancy.

Discrimination

A. I specifically allege:

- [1] I began working at Respondent Company in or about December 11, 2011.
- [2] I consistently performed my job duties in a highly competent manner.
- [3] I last held the position of Senior Technical Advisor.
- [4] I reported to Respondent Sean Baird (male), Owner.
- [5] I was the second highest-ranking female employee at Respondent Company.
- [6] Respondent Company has an underrepresentation of female employees, particularly in high level positions.
- [7] At the time of my termination, the following employees reported to Respondent Baird (male) and were not terminated: Jason Douglas (male), Marketing; Ray Slough (male), Sales/Account Manager; Jessy Schurer (female), Receptionist; and Sharon McGrory (female), Controller. I was qualified, if not more qualified, to perform these employees' positions.
- [8] In or about April 2018, I informed Respondent Baird (male) that I was pregnant, and that my due date was in November 2018.
- [9] After I informed Respondent Company that I was pregnant, Respondent Company hired Douglas (male).
 - [10] Before Douglas (male) was hired, I had been handling marketing duties.
- [11] When Douglas (male) was hired, after I informed Respondent Baird (male) that I was pregnant, Respondent Company took away my marketing job duties and gave

them to Douglas (male). I was told that Douglas (male), instead of me, would handle marketing duties moving forward.

- [12] Respondent Company provided me with no explanation as to why my marketing job duties were taken away and given to Douglas (male).
- [13] My marketing job duties were taken away and given to Douglas (male) because of my sex and/or pregnancy.
- [14] In or about August 2018, in a meeting with Respondent Baird (male), I discussed taking maternity leave. Respondent Baird stated that I should only need two (2) weeks of leave. I requested a longer maternity leave, and agreed to return to work within one (1) month of giving birth.
- [15] On November 1, 2018, I gave birth to my son and went out on maternity leave.
 - [16] I was on maternity leave from November 1, 2018 to December 6, 2018.
 - [17] On December 6, 2018, I returned to work from maternity leave.
- [18] After I returned from maternity leave, I was ignored and was excluded from meetings and communications relevant to my job duties.
- [19] After I returned from maternity leave, I was treated differently, and in a more hostile and dismissive manner, than male and/or female employees who had not recently taken a maternity leave were treated and than I was treated before I was pregnant and gave birth.
- [20] After I returned from maternity leave, Respondent Baird (male) told me that he was too busy to communicate with me.
- [21] After I returned from maternity leave, Respondent Company failed to pay me my earned commissions.

- [22] On March 20, 2019, in a phone call with Respondent Baird (male), Respondent Company terminated my employment, effective immediately. The stated reasons were that my position was being eliminated and Respondent Company was creating a new sales administrative position. I was qualified for the position, said I wanted it, and was denied it. Respondent Baird (male) stated that Respondent Company tried to give me marketing duties but I did not want to do them. This was false. I had been handling marketing duties, and wanted to continue handling marketing duties, but Respondent Company hired Douglas (male) after I notified Respondent Company of my pregnancy, and took the duties away from me and gave the duties to Douglas (male). I asked if there was anything I could do to remain employed with Respondent Company, and was told that there was not.
- [23] Respondent Company did not provide any explanation as to why I was terminated but the recently hired Douglas (male) was retained.
- [24] Respondent Company terminated my employment because of my sex and/or pregnancy.
- [25] Respondent Company failed to offer me the open, newly created position for which I was qualified, even when I requested to be placed in the position.
- [26] Respondent Company failed to offer me the open, newly created position for which I was qualified because of my sex and/or pregnancy.
- [27] I was not offered any opportunities to remain employed with Respondent Company.
- [28] Before the call on March 20, 2019, during which I was terminated, I had no indication that my job was in jeopardy.

	[29]	I received no indication that Respondent Company found my performance
deficient o	r that Resp	ondent Company was considering eliminating my position and creating a
new positi	on.	
	[30]	I was the only employee terminated from Respondent Company on March
20, 2019.		
	[31]	I had no performance or disciplinary issues throughout my employment.
	[32]	Respondent Company assigned my job duties to Douglas (male), Slough
(male), and	i Jeffrey M	oser (male), Chief Technology Officer. I am more qualified to do my job
than the m	ale employ	ees to whom Respondent Company assigned my job duties.
	[33]	Respondent Company subjected me to a hostile work environment
because of	my sex and	d/or pregnancy.
	[34]	Respondents' sex and pregnancy discriminatory conduct toward me has
caused me	emotional	distress.
	B. Based	on the aforementioned, I allege that Respondent Company has
discrimina	ted against	me because of my sex (female) and pregnancy, in violation of Title VII of
the Civil R	Lights Act o	of 1964, as amended, 42 U.S.C. § 2000e, et seq. ("Title VII"), and the
Pennsylva	nia Human	Relations Act, as amended, 43 P.S. § 951, et seq. ("PHRA").
4.	The all	legations in Paragraph 3 hereof constitute unlawful discriminatory practices
in violation	n of:	
	<u>X</u>	Pennsylvania Human Relations Act (Act of October 27, 1955, P.L.
	744, as an	nended) Section 5 Subsection(s):(a)
		Section 5.1 Subsection(s)
		Section 5.2 Subsection(s)

	Pennsylvania Fair Educational Oppor	tunities Act (Act of July 17, 1961,
	P.L. 766, as amended) Section 4 Subsection(s)	
5.	Other action based upon the aforesaid allegat	ions has been instituted by the
Complain	nant in any court or before any other commission w	ithin the Commonwealth of
Pennsylva	ania as follows:	
	X This charge will be referred to the l	EEOC for the purpose of dual
	filing.	
6.	The Complainant seeks that Respondents be	required to:
	(a) Make the Complainant whole.	•
	(b) Eliminate all unlawful discriminatory practic	e(s) and procedure(s).
	(c) Remedy the discriminatory effect of past practice.	ctice(s) and procedure(s).
	(d) Take further affirmative action necessary and	appropriate to remedy the violation
	complained of herein.	
	(e) Provide such further relief as the Commission	n deems necessary and appropriate.

VERIFICATION

I hereby verify that the statements contained in this complaint are true and correct to the best of my knowledge, information, and belief. I understand that false statements herein are made subject to the penalties of 18 P.A.C.A. Section 4904, relating to unsworn falsification to authorities.

(Date Signed)

(Signature)

Jessica Gilbert

Apollo Beach, FL 19422

HIMAGE

Exhibit "2"

Case 2:21-cv-00993-JP Document 1 Filed 03/02/21 Page 25 of 25 U.S. EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY COMMISSION

EEOC Form 161-B (11/16)

NOTICE OF RIGHT TO SUE (ISSUED ON REQUEST)

		1			<u> </u>
	ca Gilbert o Beach, FL 33572	Fr	om:	Philadelphia 801 Market Suite 1000 Philadelphia	
	On behalf of person(s) aggrieved who CONFIDENTIAL (29 CFR §1601.7(a))				
EEOC Charg	e No.	EEOC Representative			Telephone No.
47F 2020	C0000	Kurt Jung	N	lamaman	(207) 500 0740
17F-2020-	00808	State, Local & Tribal Progra			(267) 589-9749 Information enclosed with this form.)
N отісе то тн	E PERSON AGGRIEVED:	(000)	aioo	ino additional il	morriadori oriologoa widi dilo formi,
Act (GINA): been issued of your rece	the Civil Rights Act of 1964, the Ar This is your Notice of Right to Sue, at your request. Your lawsuit under tipt of this notice; or your right to so y be different.)	issued under Title VII, the ADA or Title VII, the ADA or GINA must	GINA be fil	A based on the a ed in a federal	above-numbered charge. It has or state court <u>WITHIN 90 DAYS</u>
X	More than 180 days have passed	since the filing of this charge.			
	Less than 180 days have passed to be able to complete its administration				
X	The EEOC is terminating its proce	ssing of this charge.			
	The EEOC will continue to process	s this charge.			
	nination in Employment Act (ADE r you receive notice that we have co				
	The EEOC is closing your case. The Second of this 90 DAYS of your receipt of this				
	The EEOC is continuing its handling you may file suit in federal or state			days have pass	ed since the filing of the charge,
in federal or	ct (EPA): You already have the righ state court within 2 years (3 years for ns that occurred more than 2 year	willful violations) of the alleged EP	A und	derpayment. Th	is means that backpay due for
If you file suit	, based on this charge, please send	a copy of your court complaint to the	is off	ice.	
		On behalf of the	Com	nmission	
		Jania RW llama	-		12/03/2020
Enclosures		Jamie R. William District Direct			(Date Mailed)
cc:	CORDICATE IT, LLC				

Brian C. Farrell, Esq. **Console Mattiacci Law** 1525 Locust Street, 9th Floor Philadelphia, PA 19102 farrell@consolelaw.com buccieri@consolelaw.com

Charles L. Shute, Jr., Esq. **Obermayer Rebmann Maxwell & Hippel LLP** 2 West Market Street, Suite 100 West Chester, PA 19382 charles.shute@obermayer.com